Directions 1 – 16: This section consists of 5 passages followed by questions. Read each passage carefully. Answer the questions by selecting the most appropriate option (with reference to the passage).

PASSAGE 1

We use the word culture quite casually when referring to a variety of thoughts and actions. I would like to begin my attempt to define cultures by a focus on three of its dictionary meanings that 1 think are significant to our understanding of the general term-culture. We often forget that it's more essential usage is as a verb rather than as a noun, since the noun follows from the activities involved in the verb. Thus the verb, to culture, means to cultivate, This can include at least three activities: to artificially grow microscopic organisms; to improve and refine the customs, manners and activities of one's life: to give attention to the mind as part of what into the making of what we call civilization, or what was thought to be the highest culture, In short, one might argue that culture is the intervention of human effort in refining and redefining that which is natural, but that it gradually takes on other dimensions in the life of the individual, and even more in the interface between the individual and society. When speaking of society, this word also requires defining. Society, it has been said, is what emerges from a network of interactions between people that follow certain agreed upon and perceptible patterns. These arc determined by ideas of status, hierarchy and a sense of community governing the network. They are often, but not invariably, given a direction by those who control the essentials in how a society functions, as for instance, its economic resources, its technology and its value systems. The explanation and justification for who controls these aspects of a society introduces the question of its ideology and often its form.

The resulting patterns that can be differentiated from segment to segment of the society are frequently called its cultures. Most early societies register inequalities, The access of their members to wealth and status varies, The idea of equality therefore has many dimensions. All men and women may be said to be equal in the eyes of God, but may at the same time be extremely differentiated in terms of income and social standing, and therefore differentiated in the eyes of men and women. This would not apply to the entire society. There may be times when societies conform to a greater degree of equality, but such times may be temporary. It has been argued that on a pilgrimage, the status of every pilgrim is relatively similar but at the end returns to inequalities. Societies are not static and change their forms and their rules of functioning, Cultures are reflections of these social patterns, so they also change, My attempt in this introduction is to explain how the meaning of a concept such as culture has changed in recent times and has come to include many more facets than it did earlier, What we understand as the markers of culture have gone way beyond what we took them to be a century or two ago. Apart from items of culture, which is the way in which culture as heritage was popularly viewed, there is also the question of the institutions and social codes that determine the pattern of living, and upon which pattern a culture is constructed. Finally, there is the process of socialization into society and culture through education. There is a historical dimension to each of these as culture and history are deeply intertwined. There is also an implicit dialogue between the present and the past reflected in the way in which the readings of the past changed over historical periods,

Every society has its cultures, namely, the patterns of how the people of that society live. In varying degrees this would refer to broad categories that shape life, such as the environment that determines the relationship with the natural world, technology that enables a control over the natural world, political-economy that organizes the larger vision of a society as a community or even as a state, structures of social relations that ensure its networks of functioning, religion that appeals to aspirations and belief, mythology that may get transmuted into literature and philosophy that teases the mind and the imagination with questions. The process of growth is never static therefore there are mutations and changes within the society. There is communication and interaction with other societies through which cultures evolve and mutate. There is also the emergence of subcultures that sometimes take the form of independent and dominant cultures or amoeba-like breakaway to form new cultures. Although cultures coincide with history and historical change, the consciousness of a category such as culture, in the emphatic sense in which the term is popularly used these days, emerges in the eighteenth century in Europe. The ideal was the culture of elite groups, therefore sometimes a distinction is made between what came to be called 'high culture' that of the elite, and 'low culture' that of those regarded as not being of the elite, and sometimes described as 'popular'. Historical records of elite cultures in forms such as texts and monuments for instance, received larger patronage and symbolized the patterns of life of dominant groups. They were and are more readily available as heritage than the objects of the socially lower groups in society whose less durable cultural manifestations often do not survive. This also predisposed people to associate culture as essentially that of the elite.

1. What is the central idea of the passage?
    (a) The author has explained the importance of religion and equality before God
    (b) The author has defined culture and its sub-elements
    (c) The author has explained the social inequalities existing in a society
    (d) The author has explained the contextual metamorphosis of culture in different contexts

    (d); We can eliminate options (a) and (c) as they are not the main point of the passage. Although option (b) does seem to be a probable answer, but is limited and incomplete. If we look at second paragraph 10th sentence, 'My attempt…… it did earlier" it reflects the author's central idea as being option (d), i.e. to explain the changes in the development of culture. Hence the correct answer would be options (d).
2. According to the author what are the characteristics of 'Society'?
    (a) Society consists of rich and poor

(b)   Society consists of relationships between people who have agreed to follow certain social norms
(c)   Society consists of inequalities between people who have access to and control over resources
(d)   Society consists of people who go on pilgrimage together

(b); The first para 4th last sentence provides that society is " …what emerges ….and perceptible patterns….". Therefore, according to the author the characteristic of society shall be option (b). Option (c) seems correct but the passage states that it would not apply to the entire society and that such inequalities or equalities may be temporary. Hence the correct option is option (b).

3.   With reference to the above passage, what arc the important elements of 'Culture'?
(a)   Social inequalities, wealth, status, social norms
(b)   High culture elite and low culture popular
(c)   History, education, religion, beliefs, social patterns
(d)   Growth, civilisation, communication, texts and monuments

(c); Options (a) and (d) can be eliminated as they both talk about societies not cultures. High culture elite and low culture popular are the distinctions made within the culture and so cannot be termed as the elements of the culture that has been asked in the question. The last part of the second paragraph and the beginning part of the third paragraph provides us with the answer as option (c). Hence the correct answer is option (c).

PASSAGE 2

Today, we have specialists in various professions, but many among them are unconcerned with the world beyond their own specialization. It is sometimes said that they are replacing the public intellectual. But the two are not identical. There are many more academics, for instance, than existed before. But it seems that most refer not to confront authority even if it obstructs the path of free thought. Is this because they wish to pursue knowledge undisturbed, or because they are ready to discard knowledge should authority require them to do so? Or does association with national or international agencies require that critical assessments of social thought and action remain sotto voce? Today, as always, the public intellectual is expected to take a position independent of those in power, enabling him or her to question debatable ideas, irrespective of who propagates them. Reasoned critiques are often the essential starting point. The public intellectual has to see himself or herself as a person who is as close to being autonomous as is possible, and more than that, be seen by others as such.

An acknowledged professional status makes it somewhat easier to be autonomous. Such status brings with it another kind of authority, conceded, even if grudgingly, by professional peers and this does make some small impact on the non-professional world. The public intellectiial of today, in addition to being of such a status, has to have at the same time a concern for what constitutes the rights of citizens, particularly on issues of social justice, and further, there should be a readiness to raise these matters as public policy. The combination of drawing upon the professional respect that a person has garnered, together with a concern for society, can sometimes establish the moral authority of that person and ensure public support. This is a conceded qualification and not a tangible one. In the past it was those who had distanced themselves somewhat from society who were believed not to have a vested interest in the changes they were suggesting. Although this was not always so, we know that close associations, such as formal affiliation to a political party, can inhibit free-thinking and prescriptions for action, even if it has the advantage of providing a certain leverage to the suggestions being made. As an attitude of mind, autonomy is more readily expected of the professional specialist or the academic. Such persons, and they are not the only ones, can suggest alternative ways of thinking, even about problems of the larger society. Their thinking should emerge from reasoned, logical analyses. Yet academics today are hesitant to defend even the right to make what might be broadly called alternative, if not rational interpretations, however sensitively they may be expressed. This is evident from the ease with which books are banned and pulped, or demands made that they be burned, and syllabuses changed under pressure from religious or political organizations, or the intervention of the state. Why do such actions provoke so little reaction among many academics and professionals? The answer that is usually given is that they fear the instigators who are persons with the backing of political authority. But is this the only answer?

Is it assumed that opinions about governance and society must hinge on ideologies linked to political parties and as a result there can be no thinking about how to configure society in a manner that is independent of a necessary commitment to political parties? Surely in this day and age, it is possible to be an independent liberal in this country with ideological commitments that are not determined solely by political parties? Being a liberal is an attitude of mind that determines the fight for space in a society when that society resists ethics and reasoned thinking. The understanding of what one is battling for assumes an ideological direction but this does not require association with a political party. And there should also be the freedom to choose one's position on an issue and this position need not be in conformity with the ideological take of a particular political party on every occasion. The public intellectual has, by definition, to be liberal, that is, to insist that there be space to present varying perspectives and that wherever possible, reason and ethics should have primacy in whatever debates are taking place. This is not a new definition and has been a recognizable part of the interface between knowledge and society since earliest times. Approximations to orthodoxy and orthopraxy have always been contested by similar approximations to heterodoxy although those leading the charge do not always have or need to have the same social identity. This is apparent among people and situations in the Indian past yet we have often ignored it or failed to recognize it. How an intellectual even without being a public intellectual, requires a more than average knowledge in his/her professional specialization and beyond that a familiarity with the context of that knowledge: how did it come about and what are the implications for the people who use that knowledge. To be a technician (or be technically accomplished) in a specialization, however good, is not sufficient. An intellectual perspective requires that the specialized knowledge one possesses should be related to social concerns where required and to other branches of knowledge as well. Added to this it helps if that knowledge can

be contextualized in an accessible way for a wider range of people to understand facets of the variegated world in which we live, and to which understanding the specialization contributes. The public intellectual uses such foundations in his/her thinking in order to extend the understanding of the world we inhabit, and to do so by insisting on space for debate and the right to informed opinion.

4. According to the author, 'Public Intellectual' is one who
    (a) is very knowledgeable, possesses a postgraduate or higher degree and is a specialist in his field
    (b) is liberal minded, considers varying perspectives, takes an independent position and is concerned for greater good
    (c) has a professional status, works with national and international agencies and is an expert in specific domain
    (d) has the backing of political authority, speaks and writes sensitively about various issues and is concerned about social policy

    (b); Here we can use the elimination method. Options (a), (c), and (d) can be eliminated. We are left with option (b). In the third paragraph it has also been mentioned directly that "The public intellectual has, by definition, to be a liberal …." Hence option (b) is the correct answer.

5. How does the author differentiate between Public Intellectuals of the past and today?
    (a) Public intellectuals of the past were merely academicians and idealists. Public intellectuals of today are more learned and specialists in their own field
    (b) Public intellectuals of the past were not concerned about matters of social policy or social concerns. Public intellectuals of today are technically accomplished and believe in reasoned critiques.
    (c) Public intellectuals of the past were more concerned with rational thought. Public intellectuals of today are more sensitive to different perspectives
    (d) Public intellectuals of the past were distanced from vested interests, liberal in thought and spoke up about issues concerning society. Public intellectuals of today are concerned about being politically correct while expressing views

    (d); The answer has been provided somewhere in the middle and the end of the second paragraph. The author states that the public intellectuals of today should be sensitive less hesitant which they are not. However, the past public intellectuals were more rational and free spirited in making their points. This is brought out clearly in option (d). Hence correct option is (d).

6. With reference to the above passage, explain the relationship between Orthodoxy, Orthopraxy and Heterodoxy as proposed by the author as applicable to the 'Public Intellectual'
    (a) The 'Public Intellectual' can be both orthodox and orthoprax but not heterodox
    (b) The 'Public Intellectual' can be heterodox but not orthodox and orthopraxy
    (c) The 'Public Intellectual' can be both orthoprax and heterodox but not orthodox
    (d) The 'Public Intellectual' can be orthodox but not heterodox and orthopraxy

    (b); According to the passage orthopraxy and orthodoxy should go hand in hand while heterodoxy (space for debate)goes separately. (Refer to the last paragraph). Option (b) clearly provides this and hence (b) is the correct answer.

PASSAGE 3

We love information. Especially in times of crisis. Have you ever noticed your tendency to become glued to the television or Internet when disaster strikes? It is human nature to try to gather as much information as possible, to make sense and create meaning when we don't understand what is happening. We seek information for another reason too, control. We operate under the illusion that if we can gain more information, we will not only understand what is happening, we might just be able to control it. I am not suggesting that there is no value to information or to clearly defined reporting and accountability relationships for routine business operations. I am instead calling out the temptation that an information-centred approach to agility offers: there's a desire to settle into the illusion that information will give you control, when in many situations it is simply not possible to gather or process enough information to be effective when it counts.

Recognizing that there are many situations that you not only cannot control but cannot predict is a radical mind-set and practice shift for most. It requires that you decide whether your goal is to reduce the perception of uncertainty or to actually become more effective in its midst. It also involves more than a simple reconfiguration of the organisation chart and job descriptions. It require relinquishing the illusion of control that lies at the very foundation of most management training and business practice. This shift is being made in one of the most hierarchal, command-and-control organizations in the country, the United States military. Recognizing the insidious nature of information age strategies and their tendency to lead to either analysis paralysis or the false security of convenient stories, the U.S. military has begun to make a fundamental shift in its approach to VUCA (volatility, uncertainty, complexity, and ambiguity), a shift from information to interactions.,. This change does not begin with restructuring and redeployments but with a fundamental shift in mind-set. In fact, the term. VUCA was first coined by the U.S. Army War College to describe increasingly complex and unpredictable combat conditions." VUCA has become shorthand for the reality of life in the twenty-first century. Most business approaches to VUCA focus on strategies to reduce uncertainty. These strategies tend to centre around gaining greater control, including amassing more and better information, minimizing risk, and improving planning and analysis. While risk and uncertainty reduction are valid strategies, they do not necessarily make an organization more agile, for two reasons: (1) collecting more and better information takes time and may foster the illusion of control and comfort when, in reality, it is impossible to gather all available information in complex, changing contexts, let alone fully analyze and make meaning of, it and (2)

planning and analysis are dependent on relatively stable contexts. Another liability of information-centred approaches is that they typically lead to more questions and the need to gather more information to reduce the uncertainty created by the information already collected. There is an even more significant liability of the information-centred approach to agility: our preconceptions lead us to filter out information that does not align with our expectations. Under the stress of an unexpected challenge or opportunity, our ability to access our higher thinking capacity can be reduced, leading us to fall back on the version of the story we expected. Warnings of terrorist threats before 9/11 and potential malfunctions of crucial components prior to the Challenger space shuttle disaster went unheeded because they did not fit the narrative that was co-constructed by leaders during years of experience and expectation. Agile leaders, teams and organizations know they cannot afford to get caught up in a story. They are instead learning how they might be more effective by focusing on their interactions with one another and with the available information in the dynamic present moment.

Let me emphasize that this is a shift away from an overreliance on information. I am not suggesting you curtail important industry and market data analysis, or take this as encouragement to blindly make decisions when further investigation is warranted. I am encouraging you to shift away from the false comfort such information can offer, and toward the relational context in which you make sense of it, decide and act. When we make the shift from information to interaction, we may be called to shift more than our relationship to external information; we may need to shift the way we perceive ourselves as well. The agility shift requires that we value our capacity to connect and build relationships over-or at least as much' as-our hard-won expertise. Years of experience, training and credentials are, of course, still valuable. But their value is minimal without the networks to which the skills, knowledge, experience, and resource awareness are linked. In other words, separating the process of "knowing what" and "knowing how" from the process of "knowing who" significantly diminishes agility capacity. The shift from information to interaction values the human system in which all meaning and action take place. Rather than problematizing this system as non-objective or messy, the agility shift embraces it and engages it more fully. You may not be able to control or predict what happens, but with a conscious, continuous commitment to interacting within your web of relationships and resources, you will be more effective than you ever imagined. The agility shift is first and foremost a shift in mind-set. This mind-set values interactions within the dynamic present moment. It is also a shift from the false comfort of "a plan" to achieving a state of readiness to find opportunity in the unexpected.

7. With reference to the above passage what is the author's stand with regard to 'information'?
   (a) The author considers information as important in order to reduce risk and uncertainty while taking decisions
   (b) The author considers information as important for human beings as they love information
   (c) The author considers information as necessary but an obstacle in taking quick decisions by organisation leaders
   (d) The author considers that there is an over reliance on information leading to complacency in decision making

   (d); As per the passage the author considers that people are relying on information a lot which is further delaying the decision making process. Options (c) seems to be close but is not the right answer as the passage does not imply that the author considers the information to be necessary. Hence option (d) is the correct answer.

8. According to the author what causes 'analysis-paralysis'?
   (a) Today's leaders are not able to take decisions because of lack of policy thereby causing paralysis of policy
   (b) Today's leaders have access to lot of information and spend more time on analysing rather than acting upon it
   (c) Today's leaders are not able to take decisions because they do not have the skills to analyse information
   (d) Today's leaders are paralysed because they do not have networking with other leaders

   (d); Analysis-paralysis has been mentioned in 6th sentence of the second paragraph. The author uses it to state how information causes slowness in decision making. This has been clearly stated in option (b). Also, by the use of elimination method options (a) and (c) can be eliminated and we are left with (d) and (b). Although the author does talk about interactions and networking, but here the meaning is as mentioned in option (b). Hence the correct answer is option (b).

9. With reference to the above passage, 'agility shift' is
   (a) the uncertainty reduction mind set of leaders to gather more information in order to take effective decisions
   (b) the mind set of leaders towards using the VUCA approach in order to take effective decisions
   (c) the mind set of leaders to reduce over reliance on information and move to interaction in order to take effective decisions
   (d) the mind set of leaders to seek more information and analysis in order to take effective decisions

   (c); Here again options (a) and option (b) can be eliminated. The author in the second and the last paragraph provides that agility shift is the shift from information to interaction. This is stated in option (c). Hence (c) is the correct answer.

10. According to the author, why do we 'love' information?
    (a) Today the internet and television provide us with easy access to lot of information and entertainment
    (b) Information helps us in anticipating and preventing crisis like 9/11.
    (c) Information provides us with an illusion of control and we remain in our comfort zone
    (d) Information helps us in getting more knowledge and enhancing expertise

    (c); Using the elimination method we can easily eliminate option (a), (b), and (d) as they go against the main point stated by the author. Option (c) finds mention in the first paragraph and where the author mentions information to be an illusion of control. Hence option (c) is the correct option.

PASSAGE 4

While majoring in computer science isn't a requirement to participate in the Second Machine Age, what skills do liberal arts graduates specifically possess to contribute to this brave new world? Another major oversight in the debate has been the failure to appreciate that a good liberal arts education teaches many skills that are not only valuable to the general world of business, but are in fact vital to innovating the next wave of breakthrough tech-driven products and services. Many defenses of the value of a liberal arts education have been launched, of course, with the emphasis being on the acquisition of fundamental thinking and communication skills, such as critical thinking, logical argumentation, and good communication skills. One aspect of liberal arts education that has been strangely neglected in the discussion is the fact that the humanities and social sciences are devoted to the study of human nature and the nature of our communities and larger societies. Students who pursue degrees in the liberal arts disciplines tend to be particularly motivated to investigate what makes us human: how we behave and why we behave as we do. They're driven to explore how our families and our public institutions-such as our schools and legal systems-operate, and could operate better, and how governments and economies work, or as is so often the case, are plagued by dysfunction. These students learn a great deal from their particular courses of study and apply that knowledge to today's issues, the leading problems to be tackled, and various approaches for analyzing and addressing those problems.

The greatest opportunities for innovation in the emerging era are in applying evolving technological capabilities to finding better ways to solve human problems like social dysfunction and political corruption; finding ways to better educate children; helping people live healthier and happier lives by altering harmful behaviors; improving our working conditions; discovering better ways to tackle poverty; Improving healthcare and making it more affordable; making our governments more accountable, from the local level up to that of global affairs; and finding optimal ways to incorporate intelligent, nimble machines into our work lives so that we are empowered to do more of the work that we do best, and to let the machines do the rest. Workers with a solid liberal arts education have a strong foundation to build on in pursuing these goals. One of the most immediate needs in technology innovation is to invest products and services with more human qualities, with more sensitivity to human needs and desires. Companies and entrepreneurs that want to succeed today and in the future must learn to consider in all aspects of their product and service creation how they can make use of the new technologies to make them more humane.

Still, many other liberal arts disciplines also have much to provide the world of technological innovation. The study of psychology, for example, can help people build products that are more attuned to our emotions and ways of thinking. Experience in Anthropology can additionally help companies understand cultural and individual behavioural factors that should be considered in developing products and in marketing them. As technology allows for more machine intelligence and our lives become increasingly populated by the Internet of things and as the gathering of data about our lives and analysis of it allows for more discoveries about our behaviour, consideration of how new products and services can be crafted for the optimal enhancement of our lives and the nature of our communities, workplaces and governments will be of vital importance. Those products and services developed with the keenest sense of how they can serve our human needs and complement our human talents will have a distinct competitive advantage. Much of the criticism of the liberal arts is based on the false assumption that liberal arts students lack rigor in comparison to those participating in the STEM disciplines and that they are 'soft' and unscientific whereas those who study STEM fields learn the scientific method. In fact the liberal arts teach many methods of rigorous inquiry and analysis, such as close observation and interviewing in ways that hard science adherents don't always appreciate. Many fields have long incorporated the scientific method and other types of data driven scientific inquiry and problem solving.

Sociologists have developed sophisticated mathematical models of societal networks. Historians gather voluminous data on centuries-old household expenses, marriage and divorce rates, and the world trade, and use data to conduct statistical analyses, identifying trends and contributing factors to the phenomena they are studying. Linguists have developed high-tech models of the evolution of language, and they've made crucial contributions to the development of one of the technologies behind the rapid advance of automation- natural language processing, whereby computers are able to communicate with the, accuracy and personality of Siri and Alexa. It's also important to debunk the fallacy that liberal arts students who don't study these quantitative analytical methods have no 'hard' or relevant skills. This gets us back to the arguments about the fundamental ways of thinking, inquiring, problem solving and communicating that a liberal arts education teaches.

11. What is the central theme of the passage?
    (a) A combination of STEM skills as well as skills of liberal arts are required by Companies in order to develop products that are most relevant today
    (b) Companies need to develop products that are technologically sophisticated and use lot of data driven technology
    (c) The Second machine Age is causing disruption and is going to require a higher number of workers specialised in STEM
    (d) Students with liberal arts background will be able to solve all the social problems as they are experts in the use of quantitative analytical methods

    (a); Options (b), (c), (d) can be eliminated as they are not the central idea that the author tries to put across. Option (c) is incorrect and option (d) is an extreme option stating that all the problems will be solved. Option (b) goes against the very message of the passage. Hence the answer is option (a).

12. How can companies gain an edge in today's era of technological innovation?
    (a) By creating products and services that are technologically sophisticated which can perform a wide range of functions using scientific methods
    (b) By creating products and services that are affordable, humane and do the work that humans don't want to do
    (c) By creating products and services that are technologically advanced and are endowed with human qualities that can be used to solve variety of social problems
    (d) By creating products and services that are similar to human beings and use data based problem solving methodologies

    (c); The last part of the second paragraph of the passage provides option (c) as the correct answer. Option (b) seems correct, but the passage does not talk about being affordable. Options (a) and option (d) can also be eliminated. Hence the correct answer is option (c).

13. What is the author's opinion with regard to the contribution of students of liberal arts and those of STEM, in this new technological age?
    (a) Students of liberal arts have good soft skills but are not skilled with quantitative analytical methods, while STEM students possess both of these
    (b) Students of STEM can contribute effectively by applying rational decision making algorithms. Liberal Arts students provide understanding of social issues but cannot contribute to the development of technological innovations
    (c) Students of STEM are better positioned to participate in the Second machine Age as they have technical skills and understand machine language. Liberal Arts students are not suitable as they do not have degrees in computer science
    (d) Students of Liberal Arts because of their knowledge of human nature can contribute effectively to technological innovations with human qualities. STEM students can contribute to technological innovations but not to human aspects

    (d); Here again we will use the elimination method via which options (a), (b), and (c) can be eliminated as they are somewhat extreme in what they state. Option (d) is the best choice in this question as it correctly states what is mentioned in the last part of the third Para. Hence the correct option is (d).

PASSAGE 5

For policy makers to this day, GDP remains the definitive yardstick for economic performance, permitting them to assess the health and progress of a nation's economy and, by extension, people's lives. Yet GDP's dominance has brought criticism. It fails to capture changes to an economy's structure, such as the shifts to a service-led or technology-based economy. Some have protested that it fails to capture the unofficial or black market economy. Others have asserted that any purely economic indicator by itself may be inadequate to truly measure society's progress. It is therefore no surprise that over the last several decades, economists, sociologists, and other academics have devised other metrics for tracking happiness, well-being, and social progress, some of which have garnered a substantial following. Implicit in these metrics is a challenge to GDP as the dominant measure of human progress-despite the fact that these measures sometimes themselves rely on GDP or some variance of GDP and come with limitations of their own, Even so, GDP remains a compelling measure of economic as well as social progress inasmuch as improvements in economic GDP translate into social progress. Policymakers have nevertheless become interested in these alternative measures, which, even if they do not displace GDP as the most prominent measure of economic growth, have value in complementing GDP in future assessments for economic and living standard progress. Furthermore, these proposed additions to GDP remind us that the endgame for public policy is progress and improved living standards rather than GDP growth for growth's sake. Nonetheless, these rankings reveal that consistently richer Countries (in terms of GDP) rank at the top of the indices and poorer ones at the bottom. For example, happiness indices reflect a demand that happiness be recognized as a criterion for government policy. First published in 2012, the *World Happiness Report* measures happiness by indexing GDP per capita alongside social support, life expectancy, freedom, generosity, and the absence of corruption. Of the 155 Countries collated in the 2017 *World Happiness Report,* the ten happiest countries, in descending order, are Norway, Denmark, Iceland, Switzerland, Finland, the Netherlands, Canada, New Zealand, Australia, and Sweden. The ten least happy countries, beginning with the least happy, are the Central African Republic, Burundi, Tanzania, Syria, Rwanda, Togo, Guinea, Liberia, South Sudan, and Yemen. While the United States is the largest country in GDP terms, it ranks fourteenth on the 2017 happiness index. A more traditional measure that goes beyond GDP alone Is the United Nations' Human Development Index (HDI). First published in 1990, the HDI assesses' longevity, education, and income across each nation's population, on the premise "that people and their capabilities should be the ultimate criteria for assessing the development of a country, not economic growth alone." The HDI reveals how two countries with the same level of gross national income (GNI)-that is, the total domestic output (GDP) plus foreign GDP generated by citizens abroad, minus domestic output created by foreigners-can end up with such different outcomes, In this way, it allows observers to compare the relative effectiveness of different policy choices and capital investments. In this index, Norway, Australia, and Switzerland rank at the top, with GNIs above US$40,000, and the Central African Republic, Niger, and Chad are at the bottom of the index, all with GNIs of less than US$2,000 per capita. Some of these measures move beyond individuals and attempt a holistic assessment of the health of society. Since its founding in 2012, the Social Progress Imperative has offered a Social Progress Index that examines a range of social and environmental indicators beyond GDP, from access to electricity to religious tolerance, to measure three distinct dimensions of social progress: Basic Human Needs, Foundations of Wellbeing, and Opportunity. The 2017 Social Progress Index covers 133 countries and 94 percent of the world's population, The world as a whole would score 64.85 in Social Progress based on an average of all countries. On average, the top cluster of fourteen countries ranked as having "very high social progress "-including Denmark, Finland, Iceland, Norway, and Switzerland among others-scores 94.92 on Basic Human Needs, Foundations of Wellbeing,' and Opportunity. The cluster of seven countries described as having

"very low social progress" include the Central African Republic, Afghanistan, Chad, Angola, Niger, Guinea, and Yemen. For this cluster the average dimension scores of Basic Human Needs, Foundations of Wellbeing, and Opportunity are 42.67, 45.42, and 27.74. What can we learn from these various indices? While noneconomic factors such as health, well-being, and quality of life matter to humanity, economic measures such as GDP generally correlate to success in the other areas, with a small amount of variation among those who are awarded the top spot. In a nutshell, economic growth underpins all else; a country needs economic growth to achieve happiness, well-being, and ultimately human progress. To be sure, GDP estimates provide a snapshot of GDP at a single point in time, but nothing more. A large GDP can indicate that a country is rich yet mask that its economy might be struggling and scarcely growing.

14. What is the author's opinion regarding GDP as a measure for economic performance of a country?
    (a) GDP is the yardstick for measuring economic growth of a country
    (b) GDP provides policy makers with definitive steps to be taken for improving economic performance
    (c) GDP provides accurate but incomplete information of an economy at a single point of time
    (d) GDP is the only reliable measure that can be used for framing economic policy

    (c); The last part of the passage provides option (c) as the answer. The other options are easily eliminated. Option (a) is not the author's view. Option (b) is incorrect and option (d) is an extreme option. Hence the answer is option (c).

15. What are the characteristics of non GDP measures?
    (a) Non GDP measures are subjective in nature and cannot be relied upon
    (b) Non GDP measures are not standardized and not universally accepted across countries
    (c) Non GDP measures provide data regarding living standards, development, and social progress
    (d) Non GDP measures cannot contribute to public policy making

    (c); the first Para 7 the line onwards provides option (c) as the correct option. Options (a), (b), (d) can be eliminated. Hence answer option (c).

16. According to the passage, what is the difference between using just GDP measures and using non GDP measures in policy making?
    (a) Economic measures such as GDP generally correlate to success in other areas and lead to social progress but non GDP measures do not
    (b) GDP measure contribute effectively towards policy making as they provide objective and actionable inputs but non GDP measures are open to interpretation
    (c) Non GDP measures are able to provide information on gaps in public policy making whereas GDP provides information only on economic performance
    (d) Non GDP measures are not accepted by most countries but GDP measures are accepted universally

    (c); Options (b) and (d) can be eliminated as they are not in sync with the information that has been provided in the passage. Between options (a) and (c), option (c) seems to be a better choice as the correct difference between GDP and non GDP methods of policy making. Hence Option (c).